

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/834,728	ROIFMAN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EBENEZER SACKY	1626	

All Participants:

(1) EBENEZER SACKY.

(2) David P. Halstead.

Status of Application: _____

(3) Joseph McKane.

(4) Robert Ramsuer.

Date of Interview: 13 November 2003

Time: 10.00

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims 1-12, 16-26, 31 and 44-58

Claims discussed:

1, 31, 48 and 49

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record, i.e., EP 335641 B1, EP 235198 and U.S. Patent number 4,950,467.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants attorney, David Halstead, will present arguments to rebut the rejection of record and also put limitations on the claims to obviate the rejection of record.

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)